

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,688	12/06/2005	Hiroya Ishida	MIY-0209	2996
23353 RADER FISH	23353 7590 06/29/2007 RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC		EXAMINER	
LION BUILDING			LE, HOA T	
	REET N.W., SUITE 501		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASIIINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20036		1773	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
,			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/559,688	ISHIDA ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	H. T. Le	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	ON.  Itimely filed  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
·	· action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·				
Disposition of Claims		·			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 December 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
<ul> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>					
	·	ived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ivad			
	or the certified copies not rece	IVCU.			
	•				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>Dec. 2005</u>.</li> </ol>	——————————————————————————————————————	al Patent Application			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 as written is unclear. It is unclear whether the conductive particle comprises a base particle, a core material and a conductive film or whether the conductive particle only constitutes the a base particle, while the conductive film and the core material are added to the conductive particle when using the conductive particle.

Claim 4 as written is unclear. It is unclear whether or not the base particle and the plated coat are part of the conductive.

In claim 9, "conductive particles" (plural) has no proper antecedent basis.

In claim 10, it is unclear how the 'conductive particles' are related to the preamble "anisotropic conductive material". In addition, "conductive particles" (plural) has no proper antecedent basis.

Other claims are deemed indefinite in view of their dependency upon claim 1 or claim 4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

**Art Unit: 1773** 

3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the JP '132 patent (JP 2000-243132).\*

Claims 1 and 2: JP'132 teaches a conductive particle comprising a conductive particle comprising a base particle having projections and a covering layer on the projections. See abstract. The projections are particulate form. See paragraph [008].

Claim 3: See paragraph [0029].

Claims 4-5: See paragraph [0008].

Claim 6: See par. [0029].

Claim 7: See par. [0012].

Claim 8: See par. [0015].

Claim 9: See par. [0010].

Claim 10: See par. [0030].

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to H. T. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1511. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m., Mondays to Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Cited by Applicant.

**Art Unit: 1773** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. Thi Le/
H. (Holly) T. Le
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1773

June 21, 2007